arXiv:0905.4923v2 [cs.FL] 1 Jun 2009

The lexicographically least word in the orbit closure of the Rudin-Shapiro word

James Currie[*]
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Winnipeg
515 Portage Avenue
Winnipeg, Manitoba R3B 2E9 (Canada)
`j.currie@uwinnipeg.ca`

June 1, 2009

Abstract

We show that the lexicographically least word in the orbit closure of the Rudin-Shapiro word $\mathbf{w}$ is $0\mathbf{w}$.

Keywords: Combinatorics on words, Rudin-Shapiro word, morphic words, automatic words.

Let $f : \{a, b, c, d\}^* \to \{0, 1\}^*$ and $g : \{a, b, c, d\}^* \to \{a, b, c, d\}^*$ be given respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} f(a) &= 0 \\ f(b) &= 0 \\ f(c) &= 1 \\ f(d) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

---

[*] The author is supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant.

and

$$\begin{aligned} g(a) &= ab \\ g(b) &= ac \\ g(c) &= db \\ g(d) &= dc. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbf{u} = g^\omega(a)$. The **Rudin-Shapiro word** w is given by $\mathbf{w} = f(\mathbf{u})$. Thus

$$\mathbf{w} = 00010010000111\cdots$$

The Rudin-Shapiro word has been the subject of much study in combinatorics on words. A standard reference is [1]. An alternative characterization of the Rudin-Shapiro word is as follows: For each non-negative integer n, let $P(n)$ denote the parity of the number of times 11 appears in the binary representation of n. For example, 59 has binary representation 111011, which contains 3 occurrences of 11, so that $P(59) = 1 \equiv 3 \pmod 2$. The Rudin-Shapiro word is the infinite binary word whose i^{th} bit (starting at $i = 0$ on the left) is $P(i)$.

Remark 1. *From this second characterization, it follows that if* p *is any finite prefix of* $\mathbf{w}$*, then* $0p$ *is a factor of* $\mathbf{w}$*; indeed, choose odd* $s > |p|$*. Then the binary representation of* $2^s - 1$ *is a string of* 1*'s of length* s*, whence* $P(2^s - 1) = 0$*. On the other hand,* $P(i) = P(2^s + i)$ *for* $0 \leq i \leq |p| - 1$*, so that* $0p$ *appears in* $\mathbf{w}$*, starting at bit* $2^s - 1$*.*

The **orbit closure** of a right infinite word $\mathbf{v}$ is the set of those right infinite words whose every finite prefix is a factor of $\mathbf{v}$. Our remarks of the previous paragraph show that $0\mathbf{w}$ is in the orbit closure of $\mathbf{w}$. Recently it was conjectured [2] that

Conjecture 1. *Word* $0\mathbf{w}$ *is the lexicographically least word in the orbit closure of* $\mathbf{w}$*.*

The purpose of our note is to prove this conjecture.

Remark 2. *Morphism* g *is order-preserving; i.e.,* $g(x) \leq g(y)$ *if and only if* $x \leq y$*. One also notices that* $f \circ g$ *is order preserving.*

Remark 3. *We say that word x appears with index i in word y if we can write $y = pxq$ for some words p and q where $|p| = i$. Note that letter a only ever appears in $\mathbf{u}$ with an even index. In particular, aa is not a factor of $\mathbf{u}$. At this point we also note that if $f(g(x)) = 00$, then $x = a$.*

Lemma 2. *Suppose $p0000$ is a prefix of $\mathbf{w}$. Then $|p|$ is odd. Thus 0000 only appears in $\mathbf{w}$ with odd index.*

Proof: Otherwise, write $p0000 = f(g(q))$ where q is a prefix of $\mathbf{u}$. But this forces q to have aa as a suffix, which is impossible.$\square$

Denote by π_n the length n prefix of $\mathbf{w}$.

Lemma 3. *For $i \leq 12$, $0\pi_i$ is the lexicographically least factor of $\mathbf{w}$ of length $i + 1$.*

Remark 4. *One can effectively list all factors of $\mathbf{w}$ of length 13 or less, establishing the truth of the lemma. However, a briefer proof follows:*

Proof: Certainly each $0\pi_i$ is a factor of $\mathbf{w}$ by Remark 1. Clearly our result holds for $i \leq 3$. By Lemma 2, word 00000 cannot be a factor of $\mathbf{w}$ so that the result holds for $i \leq 6$.

Suppose that $0\pi_6 0$ is a factor of $\mathbf{w}$. Then one of $0\pi_6 00$ and $0\pi_6 01$ is a factor of $\mathbf{w}$. Since these words have 0000 as a prefix, by Lemma 2 they could only appear in $\mathbf{w}$ with odd index, forcing one of $\pi_6 00$ and $\pi_6 01$ to have a length 4 suffix of the form $f(g(v))$, some factor v of $\mathbf{u}$. This hypothetical v would then have the form $abaa$ (in the case where $f(g(v)) = \pi_6 00$), or $abab$ (in the case where $f(g(v)) = \pi_6 01$). In either case, $f(v) = 0000$, forcing v to have odd index in u. However, then the initial a of v has odd index in $\mathbf{u}$, which is impossible.

It follows that $0\pi_6 1$ is the lexicographically least length 8 factor of $\mathbf{w}$, and the result holds for $i \leq 11$. Since 00000 is not a factor of $\mathbf{w}$, our lemma holds for $i = 12$ also.$\square$

Proof of Conjecture 1: Suppose that $\mathbf{z}$ is lexicographically least in the orbit closure of $\mathbf{w}$, but $\mathbf{z} \neq 0\mathbf{w}$. It follows that a prefix $0q$ of $\mathbf{z}$ is lexicographically less than $0\pi_{|q|}$. Since $\mathbf{z}$ is in the orbit closure of $\mathbf{w}$, $0q$ is a factor of $\mathbf{w}$. From the fact that $0q$ is lexicographically less that $0\pi_{|q|}$, it follows from Lemma 3 that π_{12} is a proper prefix of q. Using the fact that 0000 is a factor with odd index in π_{12}, we deduce that q has even index in $\mathbf{w}$. Let q be the shortest factor of $\mathbf{w}$ having the property that

1. q is lexicographically less than $\pi_{|q|}$
2. q appears in $\mathbf{w}$ with even index.

Let q' be a shortest factor of $\mathbf{w}$ with prefix q and even length. (Thus $|q'| \leq |q| + 1$.) Write $q' = f(g(v))$, some factor v of $\mathbf{u}$. Let v' be a shortest factor of $\mathbf{w}$ with prefix v and even length. (Thus $|v'| \leq |v| + 1$.) Let u' be the prefix of $\mathbf{u}$ of length v'. Since q is a prefix of $f(g(v'))$, and q is lexicographically less than the prefix $\pi_{|q|}$ of $f(g(u'))$, we see that $f(g(v'))$ is lexicographically less than $f(g(u'))$. Since $f \circ g$ is order-preserving, v' is lexicographically less than u'. Write $u' = g(U)$, where U is a prefix of $\mathbf{u}$.

Suppose that an occurrence of v has even index in $\mathbf{u}$. Then we can write $v' = g(V)$, some factor V of $\mathbf{u}$ with $|V| = |v'|/2$. Since g is order-preserving, V is lexicographically less than U. It follows that $f(v') = f(g(V))$ is lexicographically less than $f(g(U))$, which is a prefix of $\mathbf{w}$. However the index of $f(v')$ in $\mathbf{w}$ is just the index of v' in $\mathbf{u}$, which is even. Thus

1. $f(v')$ is lexicographically less than $\pi_{|f(v')|}$
2. $f(v')$ appears in $\mathbf{w}$ with even index.

However, $|f(v')| \leq |v| + 1 = |q'|/2 + 1 \leq (|q| + 1)/2 + 1 = |q|/2 + 3/2 < |q|$, since $|q| > |\pi_{12}| = 12$. This contradicts the minimality of $|q|$. We conclude that v cannot have even index in $\mathbf{u}$.

We now use the fact that π_{12} is a proper prefix of $q' = f(g(v))$. From $\pi_{12} = 000100100001$, we deduce that $g(v) = abacabdbabac$. This implies that $v = abacab$. Since v begins with an a, it must have even index in $\mathbf{u}$. This is a contradiction.□

References

[1] Jean-Paul Allouche and Jeffrey Shallit, *Automatic Sequences: Theory, Applications, Generalizations.* Cambridge University Press, 2003.

[2] Jean-Paul Allouche, Narad Rampersad & Jeffrey Shallit, Periodicity, repetitions, and orbits of an automatic sequence, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* To appear.